PREVAILED	Roll Call No
FAILED	Ayes
WITHDRAWN	Noes
RULED OUT OF ORDER	

HOUSE MOTION ____

MR. SPEAKER:

I move that House Bill 1812 be amended to read as follows:

1	Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert the following:
2	SECTION 1. IC 16-28-5-1.5 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE
3	AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY
4	1, 1999]: Sec. 1.5. The commissioner shall place each breach of this
5	article or a rule adopted under this article into one (1) of the
6	following scope and severity categories that is required by the
7	federal enforcement system under 42 CFR 488.404(b):
8	(1) A - Isolated breaches that constitute no actual harm with
9	potential for minimal harm.
10	(2) B - A pattern of breaches that constitute no actual harm
11	with potential for minimal harm.
12	(3) C - Widespread breaches that constitute no actual harm
13	with potential for minimal harm.
14	(4) D - Isolated breaches that constitute no actual harm with
15	potential for more than minimal harm that is not immediate
16	jeopardy.
17	(5) E - A pattern of breaches that constitute no actual harm
18	with potential for more than minimal harm that is not
19	immediate jeopardy.
20	(6) F - Widespread breaches that constitute no actual harm
21	with potential for more than minimal harm that is not
22	immediate jeopardy.
23	(7) G - Isolated breaches that constitute actual harm that is
24	not immediate jeopardy.

1	(8) H - A pattern of breaches that constitute actual harm that
2	is not immediate jeopardy.
3	(9) I - Widespread breaches that constitute actual harm that
4	is not immediate jeopardy.
5	(10) J - Isolated breaches that constitute immediate jeopardy
6	to resident health or safety.
7	(11) K - A pattern of breaches that constitute immediate
8	jeopardy to resident health or safety.
9	(12) L - Widespread breaches that constitute immediate
10	jeopardy to resident health or safety.
11	SECTION 2. IC 16-28-5-4 IS AMENDED TO READ AS
12	FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 1999]: Sec. 4. (a) The
13	commissioner shall impose the following remedies for breaches of this
14	article or a rule adopted under this article:
15	(1) For an offense, the remedies specified in subsection (b)(1)
16	through (b)(2) and (b)(7). The commissioner may also impose the
17	remedy specified in subsection (b)(3).
18	(2) For a deficiency, the remedies specified in subsection (b)(1).
19	The commissioner may also impose the remedies specified in
20	subsection (b)(4) and (b)(7).
21	(3) For a breach that is a repeat of the same deficiency within a
22	fifteen (15) month period, the remedies specified in subsection
23	(b)(1) through (b)(2). The commissioner may also impose the
24	remedy specified in subsection (b)(3).
25	(4) (3) For a noncompliance, the remedies specified in subsection
26	(b)(5) through $\frac{(b)(6)}{(b)}$. (b)(7).
27	(5) (4) For a breach that is a repeat of the same noncompliance
28	within a fifteen (15) month period, the remedies specified in
29	subsection (b)(1). The commissioner may also impose the
30	remedies specified in subsection (b)(4) and (b)(7).
31	(6) (5) For a nonconformance, the remedies specified in
32	subsection (b)(5).
33	(7) (6) For a breach that is a repeat of the same nonconformance
34	within a fifteen (15) month period, the remedies specified in
35	subsection (b)(5) through $\frac{1}{(b)(6)}$. (b)(7).
36	(b) The remedies for breaches of this article or rules adopted under
37	this article are as follows:
38	(1) Issuance of an order for immediate correction of the breach.
39	(2) Imposition of a fine not to exceed ten thousand dollars
40	(\$10,000) or suspension of new admissions to the health facility
41	for a period not to exceed forty-five (45) days, or both. However ,
42	a fine not to exceed twenty thousand dollars (\$20,000) may be
43	imposed if a breach has occurred at a scope and severity level
44	of K or L.
45	(3) Revocation by the director of the health facility's license or
46	issuance of a probationary license.
	issumed of a productionary meeting.

1 2

- (4) Imposition of a fine not to exceed five thousand dollars (\$5,000) or suspension of new admissions to the health facility for a period not to exceed thirty (30) days, or both. However, a fine not to exceed ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) may be imposed if a breach has occurred at a scope and severity level of H, I, J, K, or L.
- (5) A requirement that the health facility comply with any plan of correction approved or directed under section 7 of this chapter.
- (6) If the health facility is found to have a pattern of breach, the commissioner may suspend new admissions to the health facility for a period not to exceed fifteen (15) days if a breach has occurred at a scope and severity level of G, H, I, J, K, or L or impose a fine not to exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000), or both. However, a fine not to exceed two thousand dollars (\$2,000) may be imposed if a breach has occurred at a scope and severity level of F, G, H, I, J, K, or L.
- (7) The commissioner may offer the health facility the option of expending an amount of money that is equivalent to an available fine instead of the available fine to retain a consultant or to purchase equipment approved by the director to assist the health facility in correcting a breach of this article or a rule adopted under this article. The state department shall establish procedures and criteria for selection and monitoring of a consultant or equipment.
- (c) If a breach is immediately corrected and the commissioner has imposed remedies under subsection (b)(2), the commissioner may waive not more than fifty percent (50%) of the fine imposed and reduce the number of days for suspension of new admissions by one-half ($\frac{1}{2}$).
- (d) The commissioner may, with the concurrence of a licensed physician, impose the following:
 - (1) For an omission of care or an act that does not fall within a classification of a rule under this section and that the facility should reasonably have known would present a substantial probability that death or a life threatening condition will result, one (1) or any combination of the remedies specified in subsection (b)(1) through (b)(3).
 - (2) For an omission of care or an act that:
 - (A) does not fall within a classification of a rule under this section; and
 - (B) the facility should reasonably have known would result in an immediate or a direct, serious adverse effect on the health, safety, security, rights, or welfare of a patient;
 - the remedies specified in subsection (b)(1) or (b)(4), or both.
- (e) The fines or suspended new admissions imposed on the health facility under subsections (a) and (b) may be based upon each omission of care or act classified as an offense, deficiency,

repeat of the same deficiency, noncompliance, or repeat of the same nonconformance. However, the commissioner may not suspend new admissions to the health facility for more than ninety (90) days.

SECTION 3. IC 16-28-5-11 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 1999]: Sec. 11. For health facilities that are certified for participation in Medicare under 42 U.S.C. 1395 et seq. or Medicaid under 42 U.S.C. 1396 et seq., the state department may not collect both a fine under this article and a civil monetary penalty under 42 CFR 488.

SECTION 4. IC 16-28-11-1 IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 1999]: Sec. 1. Except as provided in IC 16-28-1-11, fines or fees required to be paid under this article shall be paid directly to the director who shall deposit the fines or fees in the state general fund.

SECTION 5. IC 16-28-12-5 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 1999]: Sec. 5. (a) The state department shall contract with an independent organization to operate the informal dispute resolution process required by 42 CFR 488.331.

- (b) The independent organization shall employ or contract with an interdisciplinary team consisting of at least a registered nurse, social worker, and dietician. The state department shall assure that each member of the interdisciplinary team:
 - (1) is knowledgeable concerning health facility operation; and
 - (2) receives training regarding federal survey and certification and state licensure requirements.

(Reference is to HB 1812 as printed February 25, 1999.)

Representative Hasler